The UV corrections of the Inflationary Vacuum Field Fluctuation

Hiroki Matsui[1, 2, *]

[1] *KEK Theory Center, IPNS, KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*
[2] *The Graduate University of Advanced Studies (Sokendai),Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*

There are some controversies about the influences of ultraviolet (UV) physics on the primordial density perturbation. In this paper, we point out the quantum corrections of the UV physics can be of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$ rather than $\mathcal{O}(H/\Lambda_{\rm UV})$ or $\mathcal{O}\left(H^2/\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2\right)$ by using the fact that there is a strong correspondence related to the UV corrections between the renormalized (inflationary) vacuum field fluctuation and the effective potential. This important aspect of quantum field theory (QFT) has been overlooked so far in this context. Furthermore, we propose the following inflationary prohibition of the UV physics: The realization of the inflation imposes a tight constraint on the UV physics as $\Lambda_{\rm UV} \ll H/g^{1/2}$ where g is the interaction coupling at the UV scale $\Lambda_{\rm UV}$.

arXiv:1703.08309v1 [hep-th] 24 Mar 2017

Introduction.— Recently an intriguing possibility has been discussed in the literature [1–29] where inflation can provide important clues about ultraviolet (UV) physics or trans-Planckian physics. In the standard inflation paradigm, the quantum fluctuations are assumed not to be modified up to the infinitely short length. However, it is not realistic because new physics is to be expected at the Planck scale and this simple assumption can not be correct. What matters is how the UV physics or the trans-Planckian physics modify the inflationary vacuum fluctuation and leave a specific imprint on the cosmic microwave background (CMB). Various approaches to quantum corrections of UV physics or trans-Planckian physics have been discussed so far, and a variety of the literature have reached a range of conclusions.

In the literature [14–17], the trans-Planckian problems are discussed from the viewpoint of the choice of the initial vacuum. If we take the initial vacuum as α-vacua which can be defined at finite time [15, 30, 31], the Bogoliubov coefficients α_k and β_k are constrained by the following relation

$$\beta_k = \frac{ie^{-2ik\eta_0}}{2k\eta_0 + i}\alpha_k \tag{1}$$

where η_0 is the conformal initial time and k is the wave mode. Note that the Bunch-Davies vacuum is restored in the infinite past ($\eta_0 \to -\infty$, $\alpha_k = 1$ and $\beta_k = 0$). Initial condition should be imposed when the wavelength crosses to some fundamental length scale. Therefore, the initial condition can be imposed at the k-dependent initial time $\eta_0 = -\Lambda_{\rm UV}/Hk$ [14] where $\Lambda_{\rm UV}$ is the UV cut-off scale and H is the Hubble constant during inflation. In this condition, the vacuum field fluctuation $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle$ can be written as follows:

$$\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle = \int d^3k |\delta\phi_k(\eta, x)|^2 = \int \frac{dk}{k} P_{\delta\phi}(\eta, k)\,. \tag{2}$$

where $P_{\delta\phi}(\eta, k)$ is the power spectrum of the vacuum field fluctuation given by

$$P_{\delta\phi}(\eta, k) = \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{H}{\Lambda_{\rm UV}} \sin\left(\frac{2\Lambda_{\rm UV}}{H}\right)\right) \tag{3}$$

which shows a optimistic size of the UV corrections as $\mathcal{O}(H/\Lambda_{\rm UV})$ and provides a window towards physics beyond the UV cut-off scale, e.g. the Planck scale or the string scale. On the other hand, in the literature [7, 8] based on the effective field theory, the inflationary vacuum field fluctuation can be given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle\Big|_{k=H} = {} & H^2 + c_1 H^2 \left(H^2/\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2\right) \\ & + c_2 H^2 \left(H^2/\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2\right)^2 + c_3 H^2 \left(H^2/\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2\right)^3 + \cdots\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the coefficients c_i are determined by the UV cut-off scale $\Lambda_{\rm UV}$. The above estimates suggest that the contributions from UV physics can not be larger than $\mathcal{O}\left(H^2/\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2\right)$ and have been criticized as being too pessimistic. At least there are two competing estimates of the UV corrections to the inflationary vacuum fluctuation or the CMB power spectrum in the literature, and no consensus has been reached.

In this paper we discuss how the UV physics or the trans-Planckian physics modify the inflationary vacuum fluctuation or the CMB power spectrum from the standard perspective of the quantum field theory (QFT). Here we deal with this problem by using the renormalized vacuum field fluctuation $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle_{\rm ren}$ which can be strictly calculated under the QFT in curved spacetime [1]. As a consequence, our conclusions differ from some of the previous works. The quantum corrections of the UV physics

* matshiro@post.kek.jp

[1] In principle, these problems should be discussed in the framework of quantum gravity (QG) theory. However, owing essentially to the non-renormalizable and non-unitary properties, we do not have even a consistent theory for QG yet [32–34], and furthermore, it is well-known that the primordial density perturbation can be successfully described by a semiclassical approach to gravity. In this sense, our goal will be sufficiently achieved by simply adopting QFT in curved spacetime [35–41], which is a completely renormalizable theory in contrast to QG.

or the trans-Planckian physics are of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$ rather than $\mathcal{O}(H/\Lambda_{\rm UV})$ or $\mathcal{O}\left(H^2/\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2\right)$. Furthermore, the UV physics can radiatively enlarge the inflaton mass, violate the slow-roll condition of the inflation or break the scale invariance of the CMB power spectrum. As a consequence, we can obtain the upper-bound of the UV physics as well as a window towards trans-Planckian physics.

The renormalized vacuum field fluctuation.— The quantum fluctuation necessarily causes a problem about renormalization. As well-known facts in QFT, the two-point correlation function $\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle$ which we call the vacuum field fluctuation, have the UV (quadratic and logarithmic) divergences and therefore some troublesome regularization or renormalization must be required [35]. In Minkowski spacetime, these UV divergences can be eliminated by standard renormalization technique. However, in curved spacetime, it is obscure to construct rigidly the renormalized vacuum field fluctuation. In this section, let us consider carefully the renormalized vacuum field fluctuation in de-Sitter spacetime by using the adiabatic regularization [42–49] which is the extremely powerful method to remove the UV divergences.

Through this paper, we consider a spatially flat Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) spacetime as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\,\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j \tag{5}$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor and the scalar curvature is give by $R = 6(\dot{a}/a)^2 + 6(\ddot{a}/a) = 6\left(a''/a^3\right)$ where η is the conformal time and defined by $d\eta = dt/a$. In de Sitter spacetime, the scale factor becomes $a(t) = e^{Ht}$ and the scalar curvature can be expressed as $R = 12H^2$.

In order to consider the contribution of UV physics, here we introduce a massive scalar field defined by UV physics besides a inflaton. For these two scalar fields with the interaction coupling g, the bare (unrenormalized) Lagrangian can be given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}[\phi,S] \supseteq{}& \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi + \frac{1}{2}\left(m_\phi^2 + \xi_\phi R\right)\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu S\partial_\nu S + \frac{1}{2}\left(m_S^2 + \xi_S R\right)S^2 + \frac{g}{2}\phi^2 S^2,\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where ϕ is the inflaton field, S is the massive scalar field defined by UV physics with $m_S \simeq \Lambda_{\rm UV} \gg m_\phi$ and ξ_ϕ, ξ_S are the non-minimal curvature couplings being required to obtain the renormalized theory in curved spacetime. Thus, the Klein-Gordon equations for these scalar field can be written as

$$\Box\phi + m_\phi^2\phi + \xi_\phi R\phi + \lambda\phi^3 + gS^2\phi = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\Box S + m_S^2 S + \xi_S R S + g\phi^2 S = 0, \tag{8}$$

where $\Box = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu = 1/\sqrt{-g}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g}\partial^\mu\right)$. In QFT, we treat the scalar fields ϕ, S as the field operators acting on the ground states, and therefore, the scalar fields ϕ, S are decomposed into classic fields and quantum fields as $\phi = \phi(\eta,x) + \delta\phi(\eta,x)$ and $S = S(\eta,x) + \delta S(\eta,x)$ where we assume $\langle 0|\,\delta\phi(\eta,x)\,|0\rangle = \langle 0|\,\delta S(\eta,x)\,|0\rangle = 0$. By introducing the renormalized parameters and the counterterms as $m_\phi^2 = m_\phi^2(\mu) + \delta m_\phi^2$, $\xi_\phi = \xi_\phi(\mu) + \delta\xi_\phi$, $\lambda = \lambda(\mu) + \delta\lambda$ and $g = g(\mu) + \delta g$, the one-loop Klein-Gordon equations of the inflaton field ϕ can be given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\Box\phi + \left(m_\phi^2(\mu) + \delta m_\phi^2\right)\phi + \left(\xi_\phi(\mu) + \delta\xi_\phi\right)R\phi \\ &+ 3\left(\lambda(\mu) + \delta\lambda\right)\left\langle\delta\phi^2\right\rangle\phi + \left(\lambda(\mu) + \delta\lambda\right)\phi^3 \\ &\quad + \left(g(\mu) + \delta g\right)\left\langle\delta S^2\right\rangle\phi = 0, \\ &\left(\Box + m^2(\mu) + \xi(\mu)R + 3\lambda(\mu)\phi^2 + g(\mu)S^2\right)\delta\phi = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The quantum field $\delta\phi$ can be decomposed into each k modes by

$$\delta\phi(\eta,x) = \int d^3k\left(a_k\delta\phi_k(\eta,x) + a_k^\dagger\delta\phi_k^*(\eta,x)\right), \tag{10}$$

where the creation and annihilation operators of $\delta\phi_k$ are required to satisfy the standard commutation relations $\left[a_k, a_{k'}\right] = \left[a_k^\dagger, a_{k'}^\dagger\right] = 0$ and $\left[a_k, a_{k'}^\dagger\right] = \delta(k-k')$. The in-vacuum state $|0\rangle$ is defined by $a_k|0\rangle = 0$ and corresponds to the initial conditions of the mode functions of $\delta\phi_k$. The vacuum field fluctuation $\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle$ of the inflaton field can be written by

$$\langle 0|\,\delta\phi^2\,|0\rangle = \int d^3k|\delta\phi_k(\eta,x)|^2, \tag{11}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi^2a^2(\eta)}\int_0^\infty dkk^2|\delta\chi_k(\eta)|^2, \tag{12}$$

where we introduce the rescaled mode functions $\delta\chi_k(\eta)$ as $\delta\phi_k(\eta,x) = e^{ik\cdot x}\delta\chi_k(\eta)/(2\pi)^{3/2}a(\eta)$. From Eq. (9), the Klein-Gordon equation for the quantum rescaled field $\delta\chi$ can be written as

$$\delta\chi_k''(\eta) + \Omega_k^2(\eta)\,\delta\chi_k(\eta) = 0, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\Omega_k^2(\eta) = k^2 + a^2(\eta)\left(m^2 + g\psi^2 + 3\lambda\phi^2 + (\xi - 1/6)R\right).$$

Now, we rewrite the mode function $\delta\chi(\eta)$ by the Bogoliubov coefficients $\alpha_k(\eta)$ and $\beta_k(\eta)$ as

$$\delta\chi_k(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Omega_k(\eta)}}\left\{\alpha_k(\eta)\,\delta\varphi_k(\eta) + \beta_k(\eta)\,\delta\varphi_k^*(\eta)\right\}, \tag{14}$$

where $\alpha_k(\eta)$ and $\beta_k(\eta)$ satisfy the Wronskian condition $|\alpha_k(\eta)|^2 - |\beta_k(\eta)|^2 = 1$. The initial conditions for $\alpha_k(\eta_0)$ and $\beta_k(\eta_0)$ are equivalent to the choice of the in-vacuum state. From Eq. (14), the vacuum field fluctuation $\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle$ of the inflaton field can be written by

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle\delta\phi^2\right\rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi^2a^2(\eta)}\int_0^\infty dkk^2\Omega_k^{-1}\Big\{&1 + 2|\beta_k|^2 \\ &+\alpha_k\beta_k^*\delta\varphi_k^2 + \alpha_k^*\beta_k\delta\varphi_k^{*2}\Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

For convenience, we introduce the following quantities $n_k = |\beta_k|^2$ and $z_k = \alpha_k \beta_k^* \delta\varphi_k^2$ where $n_k = |\beta_k(\eta)|^2$ can be interpreted as the particle number density created in curved spacetime. By using n_k and z_k, we can obtain the following expression of the vacuum field fluctuation of the inflaton field as

$$\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{s})} + \left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{d})} \tag{16}$$

with

$$\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{s})} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 a^2(\eta)} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \Omega_k^{-1}$$
$$\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{d})} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 a^2(\eta)} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \Omega_k^{-1} \{2n_k + 2\mathrm{Re} z_k\}$$

where $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{d})}$ expresses the dynamical particle production effects on the curved spacetime and becomes finite (see e.g. Ref.[50] for the details). However, the static vacuum field fluctuation $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{s})}$ obviously diverges as the vacuum field fluctuation in Minkowski spacetime. Essentially, as long as we consider the exact contribution of $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle$, the regularization or the renormalization are necessary to remove the UV divergences.

The adiabatic regularization is the extremely powerful method to calculate the renormalized vacuum fluctuation and not just the mathematical technique of regularizing these divergences. As previously mentioned, the UV divergences come from $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{s})}$ and are equivalent to the ordinary divergences in Minkowski spacetime. Therefore, we can eliminate these divergences by subtracting $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{s})}$ from $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle$, and then, the divergences of $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{s})}$ can be processed with the standard renormalization technique. By using the adiabatic regularization method, the dynamical vacuum field fluctuation can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle_{\mathrm{ren}}^{(\mathrm{d})} &= \left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{s})} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 a^2(\eta)} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \Omega_k^{-1} \{2n_k + 2\mathrm{Re} z_k\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 a^2(\eta)} \left[\int_0^\infty dk 2k^2 |\delta\chi_k|^2 - \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \Omega_k^{-1} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where we must chose the appropriate initial vacuum and determine the mode function of $\delta\chi(\eta)$. In the discussion from now on, we will consider the massive non-minimally coupled case ($\xi(\mu) \neq 0$ and $m(\mu) \ll H$). If we take the Bunch-Davies vacuum in de Sitter spacetime, the corresponding mode function of $\delta\chi(\eta)$ can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\chi_k(\eta) &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4}} \eta^{1/2} H_\nu^{(1)}(k\eta) \\ \nu &= \sqrt{9/4 - M^2(\phi)/H^2} \\ M^2(\phi) &= m^2(\mu) + g(\mu)\psi^2 + 3\lambda(\mu)\phi^2 + (\xi(\mu) - 1/6) R \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $H_\nu^{(1)}$ is the Hankel function of the first kind [2]. In de Sitter spacetime, the renormalized vacuum fluctuation of $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle_{\mathrm{ren}}^{(\mathrm{d})}$ can be written as follows:

$$\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle_{\mathrm{ren}}^{(\mathrm{d})} \simeq \frac{3H^4}{8\pi^2 M^2(\phi)}, \quad (M(\phi) \ll H) \tag{20}$$

where $M(\phi)$ depends on the renormalization scale μ. Now, we encounter the problems of how UV corrections modify the vacuum field fluctuation. The renormalized expression of Eq. (20) corresponds to the particle production effects of the de-Sitter background and can be interpreted as the origin of the primordial perturbations. However, this renormalized expression has some problems and obviously does not include UV quantum corrections of the massive scalar field S. In the next section, we discuss how the UV corrections from quantum scalar field S modify the inflationary vacuum field fluctuation.

The UV corrections of the vacuum field fluctuation.— To estimate the UV corrections of the inflationary vacuum field fluctuation, let us start to construct the effective potential $V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\phi)$, and then, restart the discussion of the renormalization of the vacuum field fluctuation in de-Sitter spacetime. As previously discussed, $\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{s})}$ has almost the same structure as the vacuum field fluctuation in Minkowski spacetime. Therefore, we can regularize UV divergences via cut-off regularization or dimensional regularization, and then, cancelled by the counterterms of the couplings. By using the dimensional regularization, we can obtain the following expression as

$$\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle^{(\mathrm{s})} = \frac{M^2(\phi)}{16\pi^2} \left[\ln\left(\frac{M^2(\phi)}{\mu^2} \right) - N_\epsilon - \frac{3}{2} \right], \tag{21}$$

where $N_\epsilon = -1/\epsilon - \log 4\pi - \gamma$ which is offset by the coupling counterterms, γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and μ is the renormalization scale. Thus, we can obtain the renormalized (Minkowskian) vacuum field fluctuation as follows:

$$\left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle_{\mathrm{ren}}^{(\mathrm{s})} = \frac{M^2(\phi)}{16\pi^2} \left[\ln\left(\frac{M^2(\phi)}{\mu^2} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \right]. \tag{22}$$

From Eq. (16) the renormalized vacuum field fluctuation in de-Sitter spacetime can be written by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle_{\mathrm{ren}} &= \left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle_{\mathrm{ren}}^{(\mathrm{s})} + \left\langle \delta\phi^2 \right\rangle_{\mathrm{ren}}^{(\mathrm{d})} \\ &= \frac{M^2(\phi)}{16\pi^2} \left[\ln\left(\frac{M^2(\phi)}{\mu^2} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \right] + \frac{3H^4}{8\pi^2 M^2(\phi)}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

[2] In this case, the power spectrum on super-horizon scale ($|k\eta| \ll 1$) can be approximately written by

$$P_{\delta\phi}(\eta, k) = \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{aH} \right)^{3-2\nu} \tag{19}$$

where the inflationary vacuum fluctuation with non-vanishing mass ($M(\phi) \ll H$) has a tiny k-dependence, i.e scale invariance.

In the same way, we can obtain the renormalized expression of $\langle\delta S^2\rangle$. The renormalized vacuum field fluctuations of $\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle_{\rm ren}$ and $\langle\delta S^2\rangle_{\rm ren}$ contract the one-loop effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\phi)$ where the UV corrections are embodied by the quantum corrections of the massive scalar field S. By using Eq. (9) and Eq. (23) the one-loop effective potential in de-Sitter spacetime can be given as follows: [3]

$$\begin{aligned}V_{\rm eff}(\phi) = & \frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2(\mu)\phi^2+\frac{1}{2}\xi_\phi(\mu)R\phi^2+\frac{\lambda(\mu)}{4}\phi^4 \\ & +\frac{M^2(\phi)}{64\pi^2}\left[\ln\left(\frac{M^2(\phi)}{\mu^2}\right)-\frac{3}{2}\right]+\frac{3\lambda(\mu)H^4}{16\pi^2M^2(\phi)}\phi^2 \\ & +\frac{M^2(S)}{64\pi^2}\left[\ln\left(\frac{M^2(S)}{\mu^2}\right)-\frac{3}{2}\right]+\cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where

$$M^2(S)=m_S^2(\mu)+g(\mu)\phi^2+(\xi_S(\mu)-1/6)R.$$

By using Eq. (24), we can read off the μ dependence of these couplings and the one-loop β function of m_ϕ^2 can be given as follows:

$$\beta_{m_\phi^2}=\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}m_\phi^2=\frac{6\lambda m_\phi^2+2gm_S^2}{(4\pi)^2}+\cdots \tag{25}$$

where we drop the finite terms from $\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle^{(\rm d)}$ for simplicity. The renormalized vacuum field fluctuation $\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle_{\rm ren}$ can constaruct the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\phi)$ in both Minkowski spacetime and de-Sitter spacetime. Thus, there is a strong correspondence between those two as follows:

$$\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle_{\rm ren}\Longleftrightarrow V_{\rm eff}(\phi) \tag{26}$$

In general, the quantum corrections of the UV physics can be described by the effective action $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}[\phi]$ or the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\phi)$. Therefore, we can expect that the UV corrections from the massive scalar field S modify the renormalized vacuum field fluctuation $\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle_{\rm ren}$. As previously discussed, the renormalized expression of Eq. (20) clearly does not describe such UV contributions, and therefore, we should reconsider carefully this formulation of the vacuum field fluctuation of Eq. (20). However, these problems can be simply resolved by shifting the inflaton field $\phi^2\to\phi^2+\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle_{\rm ren}$ which is reasonable to include quantum backreactions in perturbative contexts. Hence, the renormalized (inflationary) vacuum fluctuation can be rewritten by

$$\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle_{\rm ren}\simeq\frac{3H^4}{8\pi^2M_{\rm eff}^2(\phi)},\qquad(M_{\rm eff}(\phi)\ll H) \tag{27}$$

where $M_{\rm eff}(\phi)$ includes the quantum radiative corrections as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}M_{\rm eff}^2(\phi) = & m_\phi^2(\mu)+g(\mu)\psi^2+3\lambda(\mu)\phi^2 \\ & +(\xi_\phi(\mu)-1/6)R+\frac{3\lambda(\mu)M^2(\phi)}{16\pi^2}\left[\ln\left(\frac{M^2(\phi)}{\mu^2}\right)-\frac{3}{2}\right] \\ & +\frac{g(\mu)M^2(S)}{16\pi^2}\left[\ln\left(\frac{M^2(S)}{\mu^2}\right)-\frac{3}{2}\right]+\cdots \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In $m_S\simeq\Lambda_{\rm UV}\gg m_\phi$, the above quantum radiative corrections are approximately order of the UV scale as $M_{\rm eff}(\phi)\simeq g^{1/2}m_S\simeq g^{1/2}\Lambda_{\rm UV}$. Therefore, the inflationary vacuum field fluctuation can be simplified as follows:

$$\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle_{\rm ren}\simeq\frac{3H^4}{8\pi^2\left(m_\phi^2+g\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2\right)},\qquad\left(m_\phi+g^{1/2}\Lambda_{\rm UV}\ll H\right) \tag{29}$$

where the UV corrections are of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$ rather than $\mathcal{O}(H/\Lambda_{\rm UV})$ or $\mathcal{O}\left(H^2/\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2\right)$ in comparison with m_ϕ, and therefore, the inflationary vacuum field fluctuation or the primordial CMB perturbation are strongly depend on the quantum UV contributions. Furthermore, for the very massive case ($m_\phi+g^{1/2}\Lambda_{\rm UV}\gg H$), the slow-roll condition of the inflation violates or the inflationary vacuum fluctuation breaks the scale invariance of the spectrum of CMB perturbations (see e.g. Ref.[51–53]). Therefore, we can impose a tight constraint on the UV physics as follows:

$$\Lambda_{\rm UV}\ll H/g^{1/2} \tag{30}$$

where we can obtain the upper bound of the interaction coupling to be $g\ll\mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$ if we assume the Planck scale physics as $\Lambda_{\rm UV}\simeq M_{\rm Pl}\simeq10^{18}$ GeV and take the current upper value of the Hubble parameter $H\simeq10^{13}$ GeV [54, 55]. Note that in the same way we can obtain the constraint of the coherent inflaton field ϕ as $\phi\ll H/g$ from Eq. (28). From these points, we suggest that the inflaton sector should be decoupled with the high energy physics like the Planck scale physics or the string scale physics, and therefore, it is hard to imagine that the inflaton sector must be embodied by the high energy physics. From this standpoint, the Starobinsky inflation [56] or the Higgs inflation [57, 58] are attractive [4] due to the non-requirement for the new physics in

[3] Note that $\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle^{(\rm d)}$ can contribute the one-loop effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\phi)$ in de-Sitter spacetime, and therefore, essentially even the classical dynamics of the scalar field becomes intricate in contrast with Minkowski spacetime.

[4] From the viewpoint of the quantum radiative corrections on the curved spacetime, there is a strong correspondence between the Starobinsky inflation (a_1R^2) and the Higgs inflation ($\xi_H H^\dagger H$). In fact, the one-loop β-function of the gravitational coupling a_1 can be written as follows [41, 59]

$$\beta_{a_1}=\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}a_1=\frac{(\xi_H-1/6)^2}{2(4\pi)^2} \tag{31}$$

where $a_1\approx10^9$ for the Starobinsky inflation or $\xi_H\approx10^4$ for the Higgs inflation are compatible with the latest Planck data.

comparison with any other inflation models [60–63], and furthermore, matched with the current constraints of the CMB observations. In any case, the quantum corrections from UV physics give non-negligible impact on the inflationary vacuum field fluctuation and can also restrict the UV physics itself.

Conclusion and Summary.— In this paper, we have investigated how the UV physics or the trans-Planckian physics affect the inflationary vacuum fluctuation and the primordial CMB perturbation from the rigid perspective of the QFT in curved spcetime. By considering the fact there is a strong correspondence between the renormalized vacuum field fluctuation and the effective potential in QFT, we have obtained exactly the renormalized vacuum field fluctuation including the quantum UV corrections from the massive scalar field. As a consequence, we have shown that the quantum corrections of the UV physics can be of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$ rather than $\mathcal{O}(H/\Lambda_{\rm UV})$ or $\mathcal{O}\left(H^2/\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2\right)$ which had been proposed by some of the previous works. Furthermore, the UV corrections can increase the inflaton mass as $m_\phi \approx g^{1/2}\Lambda_{\rm UV}$ where g is the interaction coupling at the UV scale $\Lambda_{\rm UV}$, violate the slow-roll condition or break the scale invariance of the spectrum of CMB perturbations. In conclusion, the inflationary vacuum field fluctuation can provide a tight constraint of the UV physics as $\Lambda_{\rm UV} \ll H/g^{1/2}$ as well as provide a window towards physics beyond the UV scale as the string scale or the Planck scale.

Acknowledgments: We thank Kazunori Kohri for numerous helpful discussions and collaboration.

[1] J. C. Niemeyer, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 123502 (2001), arXiv:astro-ph/0005533 [astro-ph].
[2] R. H. Brandenberger and J. Martin, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A16**, 999 (2001), arXiv:astro-ph/0005432 [astro-ph].
[3] J. Martin and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 123501 (2001), arXiv:hep-th/0005209 [hep-th].
[4] T. Tanaka, (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/0012431 [astro-ph].
[5] L. Hui and W. H. Kinney, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 103507 (2002), arXiv:astro-ph/0109107 [astro-ph].
[6] J. C. Niemeyer and R. Parentani, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 101301 (2001), arXiv:astro-ph/0101451 [astro-ph].
[7] N. Kaloper, M. Kleban, A. E. Lawrence, and S. Shenker, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 123510 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0201158 [hep-th].
[8] N. Kaloper, M. Kleban, A. Lawrence, S. Shenker, and L. Susskind, JHEP **11**, 037 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0209231 [hep-th].
[9] C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, F. Lemieux, and R. Holman, JHEP **02**, 048 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0210233 [hep-th].
[10] R. H. Brandenberger and J. Martin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A17**, 3663 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0202142 [hep-th].
[11] O. Elgaroy and S. Hannestad, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 123513 (2003), arXiv:astro-ph/0307011 [astro-ph].
[12] B. R. Greene, K. Schalm, G. Shiu, and J. P. van der Schaar, JCAP **0502**, 001 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0411217 [hep-th].
[13] B. Greene, M. Parikh, and J. P. van der Schaar, JHEP **04**, 057 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0512243 [hep-th].
[14] U. H. Danielsson, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 023511 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0203198 [hep-th].
[15] U. H. Danielsson, JHEP **12**, 025 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0210058 [hep-th].
[16] U. H. Danielsson, JHEP **07**, 040 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0205227 [hep-th].
[17] U. H. Danielsson, JCAP **0603**, 014 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0511273 [hep-th].
[18] U. H. Danielsson, (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0606474 [astro-ph].
[19] K. Goldstein and D. A. Lowe, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 063502 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0208167 [hep-th].
[20] R. Easther, B. R. Greene, W. H. Kinney, and G. Shiu, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 023518 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0204129 [hep-th].
[21] D. J. H. Chung, A. Notari, and A. Riotto, JCAP **0310**, 012 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0305074 [hep-ph].
[22] N. Kaloper and M. Kaplinghat, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 123522 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0307016 [hep-th].
[23] C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, F. Lemieux, and R. Holman, in *Cosmic inflation. Proceedings, Meeting, Davis, USA, March 22-25, 2003* (2003) arXiv:astro-ph/0306236 [astro-ph].
[24] G. L. Alberghi, R. Casadio, and A. Tronconi, Phys. Lett. **B579**, 1 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0303035 [gr-qc].
[25] J. Martin and R. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 063513 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0305161 [hep-th].
[26] P. D. Meerburg and J. P. van der Schaar, Phys. Rev. **D83**, 043520 (2011), arXiv:1009.5660 [hep-th].
[27] S. Kundu, JCAP **1202**, 005 (2012), arXiv:1110.4688 [astro-ph.CO].
[28] N. E. Groeneboom and O. Elgaroy, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 043522 (2008), arXiv:0711.1793 [astro-ph].
[29] B. J. Broy, Phys. Rev. **D94**, 103508 (2016), [Addendum: Phys. Rev.D94,no.10,109901(2016)], arXiv:1609.03570 [hep-th].
[30] B. Allen, Phys. Rev. **D32**, 3136 (1985).
[31] E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. **D31**, 754 (1985).
[32] B. S. DeWitt and G. Esposito, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **5**, 101 (2008), arXiv:0711.2445 [hep-th].
[33] L. Smolin, (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0303185 [hep-th].
[34] S. B. Giddings, Found. Phys. **43**, 115 (2013), arXiv:1105.6359 [hep-th].
[35] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space*, 7 (Cambridge university press, 1984).
[36] S. A. Fulling, *Aspects of quantum field theory in curved spacetime*, Vol. 17 (Cambridge university press, 1989).
[37] L. Parker and D. Toms, *Quantum field theory in curved spacetime: quantized fields and gravity* (Cambridge university press, 2009).
[38] B. S. DeWitt, Phys. Rept. **19**, 295 (1975).
[39] T. S. Bunch and L. Parker, Phys. Rev. **D20**, 2499 (1979).
[40] I. Buchbinder, S. Odintsov, and I. Shapiro, Google Scholar , 413 (1980).
[41] I. L. Shapiro, Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 103001 (2008), arXiv:0801.0216 [gr-qc].
[42] T. Bunch, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **13**, 1297 (1980).

[43] L. Parker and S. A. Fulling, Phys. Rev. **D9**, 341 (1974).
[44] S. A. Fulling, L. Parker, and B. L. Hu, Phys. Rev. **D10**, 3905 (1974).
[45] S. A. Fulling and L. Parker, Annals Phys. **87**, 176 (1974).
[46] P. R. Anderson and L. Parker, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 2963 (1987).
[47] J. Haro, Theor. Math. Phys. **165**, 1490 (2010).
[48] J. Haro, (2010), arXiv:1011.4772 [gr-qc].
[49] N. Birrell, in *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, Vol. 361 (The Royal Society, 1978) pp. 513–526.
[50] A. Ringwald, Annals Phys. **177**, 129 (1987).
[51] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **B116**, 335 (1982).
[52] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. **B117**, 175 (1982).
[53] A. Vilenkin, Nucl. Phys. **B226**, 527 (1983).
[54] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* (Planck), Astron. Astrophys. **594**, A20 (2016), arXiv:1502.02114 [astro-ph.CO].
[55] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* (BICEP2, Planck), Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 101301 (2015), arXiv:1502.00612 [astro-ph.CO].
[56] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. **B91**, 99 (1980).
[57] F. L. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. **B659**, 703 (2008), arXiv:0710.3755 [hep-th].
[58] A. O. Barvinsky, A. Yu. Kamenshchik, and A. A. Starobinsky, JCAP **0811**, 021 (2008), arXiv:0809.2104 [hep-ph].
[59] X. Calmet and I. Kuntz, Eur. Phys. J. **C76**, 289 (2016), arXiv:1605.02236 [hep-th].
[60] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, and V. Vennin, Phys. Dark Univ. **5-6**, 75 (2014), arXiv:1303.3787 [astro-ph.CO].
[61] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, R. Trotta, and V. Vennin, JCAP **1403**, 039 (2014), arXiv:1312.3529 [astro-ph.CO].
[62] J. Martin, in *Rencontres du Vietnam: Hot Topics in General Relativity and Gravitation Quy Nhon, Vietnam, 28 July?3 August 2013* (2013) arXiv:1312.3720 [astro-ph.CO].
[63] A. Ijjas, P. J. Steinhardt, and A. Loeb, Phys. Lett. **B723**, 261 (2013), arXiv:1304.2785 [astro-ph.CO].